GUIDELINES FOR POSTER PRESENTATION

Poster Session
Poster session within MERD’15 has been organised with the main purpose to provide an opportunity for presenting and discussing on-going research or work.

During the poster session, the authors are invited to stand next to their posters so they can present their work and address questions from the delegates and poster award judging panel. Each poster presentation will be evaluated by TWO independent judging panels for consideration of the “BEST POSTER AWARD”. Each presenter will be allotted up to 5 minutes to present their work to the judging panels.

Posters will remain displayed during the entire event and can be viewed during the refreshment and lunch breaks.

Best Poster Award
Awards are available for the three best quality posters.

In deciding about the awards, the judging panel will take into consideration the following criteria:
- originality of the research
- scientific quality (literature acknowledgement, methodology, results and discussion)
- presentation quality of the poster (visual and author's presentation)

Therefore, for the references and preparation of the poster, authors are advised to go through the poster evaluation form (next page).

The awards will be announced at the closing event of the MERD’15 on Tuesday 31 March 2015.

Posters
Posters should be put up before 08:30am on Tuesday 31 March 2015 and removed by 04:30pm on the same day.

Material for attaching the poster will be supplied by the organiser.

Some general good practice guidelines for poster preparation are given below:
- A2 portrait format (W420mm x H594mm)
- the poster should be legible from a distance of 1 metres
- min. 30 font size for the title
- min. 20 font size for subtitles such as introduction, methods, results, etc.
- min. 15 font size for main body text
- good colour schemes for improved clarity

For any question regarding the poster session, feel free to contact Engr. Dr. Tee Boon Tuan at tee@utem.edu.my
## MERD'15 POSTER EVALUATION FORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel’s Name:</th>
<th>Poster Number:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score/Criteria</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction</strong></td>
<td>Failed to convey project in context of literature. No rationale. Purpose was unfocused and unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methods/Approach</strong></td>
<td>Little or no description of materials, methods/procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results/Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>Absence of pertinent results, tables/figures unlabeled or no legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion and Summary</strong></td>
<td>Little or no discussion of project findings, conclusions unsupported. Displayed poor grasp of understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judges Questions</strong></td>
<td>Not prepared, no eye contact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>